

From: **Standing, Brian** Standing@countyofdane.com

Subject: RE: Density study

Date: March 1, 2021 at 13:25

To: TCP Chair tcpchair@tds.net

Cc: Farrell, Mark Mark.Farrell@chsinc.com, Andros, Pamela Andros@countyofdane.com, Wayne Parrell wparrell@tds.net

BS

Hi, Greg

It shows up for me on DCIMap: [see here](#).

It looks like there's no residence there, just silos and the tower. See image below:



Hope this helps.

NOTE: The Dane County Planning & Development Department office is currently closed in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Staff are working remotely and can be reached via email during regular business hours. This is the best way to communicate with our staff. We're also checking voicemail throughout the day, so please don't hesitate to call, and we'll get back to you as soon as possible. Thank you for your patience and understanding.

More information and updates can be found on our website:
<https://danecountyplanning.com/>

Brian Standing
Senior Planner
Dane County Planning & Development
Room 116, 210 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd.
Madison, WI 53703
(608) 267-4115

From: TCP Chair <tcpchair@tds.net>
Sent: Monday, March 1, 2021 12:11 PM
To: Standing, Brian <Standing@countyofdane.com>
Cc: Farrell, Mark <Mark.Farrell@chsinc.com>; Andros, Pamela <Andros@countyofdane.com>; Wayne Parrell <wparrell@tds.net>
Subject: Re: Density study

Brian

Why doesn't this parcel RR1 show up on DCI maps?

I just want to confirm this parcel does not involve the residence that is in that group of buildings

Greg

On Feb 18, 2021, at 14:39, Standing, Brian <Standing@countyofdane.com> wrote:

Ah, I see the confusion. We have Rezone Petition 8130, which rezoned a portion of this property to the old A-2(1) district in 2002, shown as a split taken. (See map below, and density study, attached). This parcel was put it in the [RR-1 zoning district](#) as part of the comprehensive revision of the zoning ordinance. Upon closer inspection, I see that Petition 8130 was rezoned for a cellular tower, not a residence. Because the RR-2 zoning allows for a house, however, it is counted as a split taken in the density study.

<image001.png>

If you wanted to "free up" a split on this portion of the property, you could [petition to rezone](#) this 1-acre parcel to either the [FP-35 zoning district](#) (to match the surrounding land), or to the [UTR zoning district](#). Either of these districts allow for cellular towers as a conditional use, so the existing Conditional Use Permit would remain valid. However since FP-35 and UTR

Conditional Use Permit would remain valid. However since F-100 and C-111 do not allow for residential use, this would **not** count as a split under the town/county plan. This would, in turn, free up a split you could use on this portion of the property for a home. You could apply to rezone this parcel as part of the same application you would need to create the new residential lot.

I hope this clears up any confusion. Please let me know if you have further questions.

NOTE: The Dane County Planning & Development Department office is currently closed in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Staff are working remotely and can be reached via email during regular business hours. This is the best way to communicate with our staff. We're also checking voicemail throughout the day, so please don't hesitate to call, and we'll get back to you as soon as possible. Thank you for your patience and understanding.

More information and updates can be found on our website: <https://danecountyplanning.com/>

Brian Standing
Senior Planner
Dane County Planning & Development
Room 116, 210 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd.
Madison, WI 53703
(608) 267-4115

From: Farrell, Mark <Mark.Farrell@chsinc.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 18, 2021 12:31 PM
To: Standing, Brian <Standing@countyofdane.com>
Cc: Andros, Pamela <Andros@countyofdane.com>; Greg Hyer (tcpchair@tds.net) <tcpchair@tds.net>; Wayne Parrell <wparrell@tds.net>
Subject: RE: Density study

You said both splits have been used on the 76 acre's. My dads house is the only split that I know of. What would the other one be?
Thanks Mark

From: Standing, Brian <Standing@countyofdane.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 18, 2021 11:00 AM
To: Farrell, Mark <Mark.Farrell@chsinc.com>
Cc: Andros, Pamela <Andros@countyofdane.com>; Greg Hyer (tcpchair@tds.net) <tcpchair@tds.net>; Wayne Parrell <wparrell@tds.net>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Density study

Hi, Mark

Here's the relevant language from the [Town of Cross Plains / Dane County](#)

Comprehensive Plan (emphasis added):

- a) Density limitation: The density of non-farm development is limited to one nonfarm use (also referred to herein as, “split” or “density unit”) per 35 **contiguous** acres held in single ownership as of December 26, 1981...
- i) Transfers of density units: Transfers of density units between original December 26, 1981 farm units may be considered, subject to the Transfer of Development Rights program described in Section VIII.
- j) Substandard A-1EX parcels under 35 acres as of December 26, 1981: (The following policies apply to parcels zoned A-1EX less than 35 acres in size)...
 - (2) Vacant, legal, substandard (sometimes referred to as “non-conforming”) A1EX zoned parcels between 4 and 35 acres in size in existence since December 26, 1981 are permitted to rezone to an appropriate district and to divide the property by recording a certified survey map to allow no more than 2 single-family homes, provided that the proposed development can satisfy the Town’s siting criteria. No parcel so divided shall be re-divided in the future.

Because your father’s land was in two **non-contiguous** parcels in 1981, it is counted as two separate landholdings for the purposes of calculating permitted residential density. This is why we gave you two separate density study reports, one for each separate portion of the farm:

- 76.62 acres, which originally qualified for two development sites under Policy a) above, both of which have been used.
- 16.99 acres, which qualifies as a “vacant, legal, substandard parcel” as of December 26, 1981 under Policy j) above.

Given that these parcels are considered two separate base farm tracts under the town’s density policy, Policy i) above makes it clear that development rights can only be transferred through the town’s TDR policy.

I hope this is clear. Let me know if I can explain further.

NOTE: The Dane County Planning & Development Department office is currently closed in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Staff are working remotely and can be reached via email during regular business hours. This is the best way to communicate with our staff. We’re also checking voicemail throughout the day, so please don’t hesitate to call, and we’ll get back to you as soon as possible. Thank you for your patience and understanding.

More information and updates can be found on our

website: <https://danecountyplanning.com/>

Brian Standing
Senior Planner
Dane County Planning & Development
Room 116, 210 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd.
Madison, WI 53703
(608) 267-4115

From: Farrell, Mark <Mark.Farrell@chsinc.com>
Sent: Wednesday, February 17, 2021 3:53 PM
To: Standing, Brian <Standing@countyofdane.com>
Cc: Greg Hyer (tcpchair@tds.net) <tcpchair@tds.net>; Wayne Parrell <wparrell@tds.net>; Andros, Pamela <Andros@countyofdane.com>
Subject: RE: Density study

Hi Brian

Thanks for getting back to me.

I guess my question is why are there two splits on the 18 acres and none on the 76 acres. This was all the same owner in 1981 when the land use plan was updated. There should have been three splits total in 1981 and my Dad used one in 1983 for his house. I feel we should have two splits on the total acreage and not two on the 18 acres and none on the 76. Going by this we could build two houses in the nice 18 acre field but not any in the woods by my parents house. Since it is all the same farm and same owner I don't feel it is a TDR.

Feel free to call me to talk about it. I will be in a virtual meeting from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. on Thursday. I would be available other than that.

Thanks again

Mark Farrell
Shamrock Farms
608-575-4246

From: Standing, Brian <Standing@countyofdane.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 16, 2021 11:42 AM
To: Farrell, Mark <Mark.Farrell@chsinc.com>
Cc: Greg Hyer (tcpchair@tds.net) <tcpchair@tds.net>; Wayne Parrell <wparrell@tds.net>; Andros, Pamela <Andros@countyofdane.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Density study

Hi, Mark

I apologize for the delay in getting back to you. Here is the relevant language regarding Transfer of Development Rights (TDR) under the [Town of Cross Plains / Dane County Comprehensive Plan](#).

“B. Objectives:

The Town of Cross Plains uses its TDR program to:

a) preserve farmland and rural character;

*b) redirect nonfarm development away from productive agricultural lands;
c) encourage permanent protection of large blocks of contiguous farmland;”*

“2. Sending Areas

A. General Policies for all Sending Areas.

All lands within the Agricultural Preservation Area, except for substandard parcels, are considered potential “sending areas.”

Unfortunately, transferring a split from the 16.99-acre parcel to the 76.62-acre parcel would be inconsistent with these policies for the following reasons:

1. It would not further the objective of “redirecting nonfarm development away from productive agricultural lands” or “encourage permanent protection of large blocks of contiguous farmland.” AND
2. The 16.99-acre parcel would not qualify as a “sending area” under the town/county plan because it was a “substandard parcel” at the time the town first adopted its land use/comprehensive plan in 1981. Such parcels are specifically excluded as “sending areas” in the plan language above.

For these reasons, a transfer of development rights as you have proposed, would not be supported. I have confirmed this interpretation with the Town Board Chair and the Chair of the Town Plan Commission, both of whom are cc'd on this e-mail.

I know this isn't the answer you were hoping for, but I hope this information is helpful nonetheless.

Please feel free to contact me again if I can be of further assistance.

NOTE: The Dane County Planning & Development Department office is currently closed in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Staff are working remotely and can be reached via email during regular business hours. This is the best way to communicate with our staff. We're also checking voicemail throughout the day, so please don't hesitate to call, and we'll get back to you as soon as possible. Thank you for your patience and understanding.

More information and updates can be found on our website: <https://danecountyplanning.com/>

Brian Standing
Senior Planner
Dane County Planning & Development
Room 116, 210 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd.
Madison, WI 53703
(608) 267-4115

From: Farrell, Mark <Mark.Farrell@chsinc.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2021 3:52 PM
To: Standing, Brian <Standing@countyofdane.com>
Subject: RE: Density study

Hi Brian

Here is the report you sent me in 2019. We are looking at 1 and 6. 1 is in section 28 and 6 is in section 34 in the Town of Cross Plains.

I hope this helps.

Thank You

Mark Farrell 608-575-4246

From: Standing, Brian <Standing@countyofdane.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2021 3:42 PM
To: Farrell, Mark <Mark.Farrell@chsinc.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Density study

Hi, Mark

I'll do my best to answer your questions, but I need a little more information, first. Do you have parcel numbers or addresses for any of the affected property?

If not, can you at least remind me which town this is in?

Thanks.

NOTE: The Dane County Planning & Development Department office is currently closed in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Staff are working remotely and can be reached via email during regular business hours. This is the best way to communicate with our staff. We're also checking voicemail throughout the day, so please don't hesitate to call, and we'll get back to you as soon as possible. Thank you for your patience and understanding.

More information and updates can be found on our website: <https://danecountyplanning.com/>

Brian Standing
Senior Planner
Dane County Planning & Development
Room 116, 210 Martin Luther King, Jr. Blvd.
Madison, WI 53703
(608) 267-4115

From: Farrell, Mark <Mark.Farrell@chsinc.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2021 8:56 AM
To: Standing, Brian <Standing@countyofdane.com>
Subject: Density study

CAUTION: External Email - Beware of unknown links and attachments. Contact Helpdesk at 266-4440 if unsure

Hi Brian

This is Mark Farrell of Shamrock Farms.

In October of 2019 you completed a density study for Shamrock farms. There were six farms in question. The first farm formally owned by our dad Robert H Farrell was 76.62 acres that you show no potential for further residential development another 16.99 acres formally owned by our dad Robert Farrell shows two residential home sites available. I question why the splits would be like that? My wife and I are thinking about building a new house and we would like to build on the 76.62 acres and my question is can we move one of those splits from the 17 acres to there?

Thank You

Mark Farrell

608-575-4246

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

<CP Shamrock Farms (Robert H Farrell farm sec 27) 2019.pdf>